Linagliptin (Tradjenta®) National Drug Monograph

VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services, Medical Advisory Panel, and VISN Pharmacist Executives

The purpose of VACO PBM-SHG drug monographs is to provide a comprehensive drug review for making formulary decisions. These documents will be updated when new data warrant additional formulary discussion. Documents will be placed in the Archive section when the information is deemed to be no longer current.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Linagliptin is a selective inhibitor of the enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4), which metabolizes the naturally occurring incretins glucagon-like peptide-1(GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) resulting in enhanced glucose-dependent insulin secretion from the pancreas and decreased hepatic glucose production.
- Linagliptin is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. It has been studied as monotherapy and in combination with metformin, sulfonylureas (SU), and pioglitazone; combination studies with insulin are ongoing at this time.
- Linagliptin is administered 5mg orally once daily either as monotherapy or in combination with metformin, sulfonylureas, or TZDs. It may be taken with or without food. No dosage adjustment is needed for renal or hepatic insufficiency. When used with an insulin secretagogue such as SUs, the dose of the insulin secretagogue may need to be reduced in order to decrease the risk of hypoglycemia.
- ➤ Duration of the phase III trials ranged from 18-52 weeks. Monotherapy with linagliptin decreases mean hemoglobin A1c (A1C) by 0.4%. When used as add-on therapy to metformin or a sulfonylurea, the mean reduction in A1C ranged from 0.4-0.5%. When used as initial combination with pioglitazone, the mean decrease in A1C was 1.06%. When linagliptin was added to metformin + sulfonylurea, the average decrease was 0.7%. In head-to-head comparisons, the combination of linagliptin + metformin reduced mean A1C by 0.4-0.5% versus 0.6-0.7% with SU + metformin.
- > Serious adverse events (3.1 vs. 3.8%) and adverse events leading to discontinuation (2.3 vs. 3.6%) occurred less frequently in the linagliptin than in the placebo or glimepiride groups.
- ➤ In the safety database which includes 12 trials, hypoglycemia was reported in 195/2566 (7.6%) patients receiving linagliptin and in 49/1183 (4.1%) of patients receiving placebo. In a head-to-head trial, hypoglycemia was reported more often in glimepiride + metformin group than the linagliptin + metformin group (30.5 vs. 5.3%). The rate of hypoglycemia was higher in studies that combined linagliptin with a SU.
- Linagliptin is considered to be weight neutral. However, when combined with pioglitazone, there was an increase in weight which was greater than pioglitazone alone (2.3 vs. 1.3kg respectively).
- ➤ Hypersensitivity reactions have been reported with the other DPP-4 inhibitors. In the pooled 12 trial safety data base, hypersensitivity reactions were reported in 0.7% and 0.5% of patients receiving linagliptin and comparators respectively. In the head-to-head trial, hypersensitivity reactions were reported more often in glimepiride + metformin group than the linagliptin + metformin group (1.8% vs. 1.3%).
- ➤ Long-term safety and efficacy outcomes data are not available at this time; however, the FDA-required major cardiovascular adverse events (MACE) meta-analysis does not appear to show a cardiovascular safety risk with linagliptin.
- > Concerns have been raised that the DPP-4 inhibitors may be associated with an increased risk of infection. The rate of infection with linagliptin appears to be similar to that of the comparators.
- There have been post-marketing reports of acute pancreatitis, including hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis with incretin class (i.e., DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1 agonists). There were 11 cases of pancreatitis reported

- with linagliptin. Eight cases occurred while on treatment and 3 were reported following the last administered dose. The event rate based on the 8 cases was 1per 538 pt-yrs (linagliptin) and 0 in 433 pt-yrs (comparator).
- ➤ Rifampin decreased linagliptin exposure. The efficacy of linagliptin may be reduced when co-administered with a strong P-glycoprotein (P-gp) or CYP3A4 inducer. Alternative treatment is recommended when linagliptin is to be administered with a P-gp or CYP3A4 inducer.
- The incidence of adverse events was greater in those with moderate to severe renal impairment receiving linagliptin compared to placebo. Some studies showed a slightly greater percentage of patients progressing to a higher stage of renal impairment in the linagliptin groups than with placebo.
- > Based on current pricing, linagliptin has the highest acquisition cost among the DPP-4 inhibitors.

Introduction

Lingliptin was approved in May 2011 and is the third dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor on the market to join sitagliptin and saxagliptin.

Pharmacology

Incretins such as glucagon-like peptide-1(GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) are naturally occurring hormones released from the GI tract in response to the ingestion of food. Meal-stimulated circulating levels of GLP-1 are reduced in type 2 diabetes whereas the insulinotropic effect of GIP is impaired. GLP-1 and GIP enhance glucose-dependent insulin secretion from the pancreas. Also, GLP-1 suppresses inappropriately elevated glucagon secretion from pancreatic α -cells ultimately leading to decreased hepatic glucose production. Incretins do not suppress normal counter-regulatory increase in glucagon secretion during hypoglycemia.

GLP-1 has a short plasma half-life; therefore, its utility as a pharmacologic agent is limited. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 is the enzyme responsible for metabolizing GLP-1 and GIP. Inhibition of DPP-4 activity results in meal-based enhancement of GLP-1 and GIP. Linagliptin selectively inhibits the DPP-4 enzyme.

Pharmacokinetics¹²

Table 1: Pharmacokinetics of Linagliptin

U .	
Area under the curve (AUC)	139 nmol•h/L
Maximum plasma concentration (Cmax)	8.9 nmol/L
Time to maximum concentration (Tmax)	1.5h
Terminal half-life (t1/2)	12h
Protein binding	70-99% (concentration-dependent)
Metabolism	Undergoes minimal metabolism; 90% of drug recovered as unchanged parent compound. The remainder undergoes hydroxylation or oxidation as inactive metabolite
Elimination	Via enterohepatic system; 84.7% eliminated in the feces and 5% in urine

FDA approved indications¹²

Linagliptin is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Linagliptin has been studied as monotherapy and in combination with metformin, sulfonylureas, and pioglitazone; combination studies with insulin are ongoing at this time.

Current VA formulary alternatives

None in the DPP-4 inhibitor class; agents from other drug classes on the VANF include metformin, glipizide, glyburide, acarbose, and insulin (i.e., regular, NPH, aspart, glargine/detemir).

Dosing/Administration¹²

- 5 mg once daily taken with or without food
- When used in combination with an insulin secretagogue, a lower dose of the secretagogue may be required.
- No dosage adjustment is needed for patients with renal or hepatic impairment.

Dosage form/strengths

Available as a 5mg tablet

Efficacy

Linagliptin has been studied as monotherapy and in combination with metformin, pioglitazone, and sulfonylureas. Efficacy data are presented for 9 randomized Phase III clinical trials; 3 published, 6 unpublished. Among, the combination trials, 2 were initial combination trials and the others were add-on trials. One add-on trial was conducted in patients with severe renal impairment. Abstracts, FDA review data, and the manufacturer's dossier were used to provide information for the unpublished trials.

Patients underwent a 4-week washout period if they were receiving oral antidiabetic drugs (OAD) at baseline that were not being studied. For the combination studies with metformin and SUs, patients were required to have had inadequate glycemic control while taking those agents. For the combination with pioglitazone study, patients could have been treatment naïve or receiving any OAD. All studies allowed for rescue treatment with a diabetes agent from another class for patients who did not meet specific glycemic goals during the study period.

Hemoglobin A1C

Mean baseline A1C ranged from 7.7-8.6%. Linagliptin reduced A1C as shown in Table 2. There was no difference in response with regards to age, gender, or body mass index (BMI). Maximum glucose lowering effects were seen at 8-12 weeks.

Changes in A1C from baseline were greater in those who were drug treatment naïve than those who were on 1 oral antidiabetic drug (OAD) prior to study entry. Similarly, those who were on metformin alone at study entry had a greater response than those who were on metformin plus one other OAD.

As seen with other drugs used to treat diabetes, those with higher baseline A1C had a greater reduction than those with lower baseline values. For example, the mean change in A1C was <0.2% for those with baseline A1C < 7.5% compared to a change of >0.8% for those with baseline A1C \geq 9.0%. (See appendices)

Fasting and post-prandial blood glucose

While fasting glucose improved more in the linagliptin group than placebo, the overall magnitude of change was relatively small. In the comparative study, decrease in fasting glucose was greater with SU + metformin than it was with linagliptin + metformin. Four studies evaluated post-prandial glucose. Linagliptin had a greater effect on improving post-prandial glucose (PPG) than placebo. The effect on PPG was similar with linagliptin + metformin and SU + metformin.

Table 2: Glycemic Efficacy of Linagliptin

Study	n	Duration	Treatment arms	Baseline A1C (%)	Change in A1C (%)	A1C < 7% (%)	Change in FPG (mg/dL)	Change 2h-PPG (mg/dL)‡
Del Prato ¹	503	24-weeks	LIN 5mg	8.0	-0.44±0.05*	25.2*	-9.0±1.8*	-34.2±5.4*
(Pivotal trial)	303	24-Weeks	PBO	8.0	0.25±0.07	11.6	14.4±3.6	25.2±10.8
Taskinen ²	701	24	LIN 5mg + MET	8.1	-0.49±0.04*	26*	-10.8±1.8*	-48.6±7.2*
(Pivotal trial)	701	24-weeks	PBO + MET	8.0	0.15±0.06	9	10.8±3.6	18±12.6
Gomis ³	389	24-weeks	LIN 5mg + PIO 30mg	8.6	-1.06±0.06*	42.9*	-32.4±1.8*	Not evaluated
(Pivotal trial)	369	24-weeks	PBO + PIO 30mg	8.6	-0.56±0.09	30.5	-18.0±3.6	NOL evaluated
Study 35 ^{4, 5}	245	18-weeks	LIN 5mg+SU	8.6	-0.5±0.07*	15.2	-8.2±3.3	Not evaluated
•	245	18-weeks	PBO+ SU	8.6	-0.1±0.1	3.7	-1.8±4.5	Not evaluated
Study 18 ^{4, 6}	1058	24-weeks	LIN 5mg+MET+SU	8.2	-0.7±0.86*	31.3*	-4.6±1.4*	Not evaluated
(Pivotal trial)	1058	24-weeks	PBO+MET+SU	8.1	-0.1±0.87	9.2	8.1±2.4	Not evaluated
Study 20 ^{4, 9, 10}	1527	52ala#	LIN 5mg + MET	7.7	-0.4±0.03	29.6	-8.6±1.24	-32±5.2
Study 20	1327	52-weeks†	GLIM + MET	7.7	-0.6±0.03*	38.9	-16.2±1.25*	-29.9±5.2

Study 50 ^{4, 8}	227	18-weeks	LIN 5mg PBO	8.1	-0.44±0.14* 0.14±0.16	23.5 11.8	-13.3±5.2* 7.2±6.0	Not evaluated
Study 43 ^{7,10}	133	52-weeks	LIN 5mg + prior DM meds PBO + prior DM meds	8.2 8.2	-0.71±0.15* 0.01±0.16	18 9.8	NS between groups	Not evaluated
	143		LIN 2.5mg + MET 500mg BID LIN 2.5mg + MET	8.7	-1.22	30.1	-33.2	Treatment diff -50.8 * (LIN/MET500 vs.
Study 46 ^{10, 11}	143 142	24-weeks	1000mg BID LIN 5mg	8.7 8.7	-1.59 -0.45	53.6 10.4	-49.4 -8.6	LIN)
	144 147		MET 500mg BID MET 1000mg BID	8.7 8.5	-0.64 -1.07	18.6 30.7	-15.8 -32.3	-73.9 * (LIN/MET1000 vs.
	72		PBO	8.7	0.13	10.8	10.2	LIN)

FPG-fasting plasma glucose; GLIM=glimepiride; MET=metformin; PBO=placebo; PIO=pioglitazone; PPG=post-prandial glucose; SU=sulfonylurea ‡2-h PPG conducted in a subgroup of patients

Studies in Specific Populations

Study 43 was a 52-week study conducted in patients with severe renal impairment (GFR<30ml/min/1.73m2). Linagliptin or placebo was added to existing hypoglycemic agents including insulin. Glycemic improvement is shown in table 2 and appendix 3. ^{7, 10}

Extension Trials

There are ongoing open-label extension trials (78 weeks) for the 4 pivotal trials and for several of the supportive trials. Two year data for study 20 is available which shows noninferiority of linagliptin vs. glimepiride for change in A1C. Weight gain, hypoglycemia and CV events were reported more often in the glimepiride group. 9, 10

Table 3: Extension Trials

Study	Comparison (parent studies)	Extensions	Results
<u>Pivotal</u>			
Gomis (Study 15)	LIN5mg +PIO vs. PBO+PIO	Open-label extension to 78	
Del Prato (Study 16)	LIN5mg vs. PBO	weeks. Placebo patients	N/A
Taskinen (Study 17)	LIN 5mg + MET vs. PBO + MET	switched to linagliptin	
Study 18	LIN5mg + MET+SU vs. PBO+MET+SU		
			A1C (%): -0.4 (LIN); -0.5 (GLIM)
Study 20 ^{9, 10}	LINET A MET VS CLIM + MET	2 year trial	Weight (kg): -1.4 (LIN); +1.3 (GLIM)
	LIN 5mg + MET vs. GLIM + MET	2 year trial	Hypoglycemia (%): 7.5 (LIN); 36.1 (GLIM)
			CV events (%): 1.7 (LIN); 3.4 (GLIM)
Study 23‡	LIN vs. PBO vs. voglibose	Extension to 52-weeks	N/A
		34-week extension period.	
Study 50	LIN5mg vs. PBO	Placebo patients switched to	N/A
		glimepiride	

 $[\]mbox{\ensuremath{\ddagger}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{S}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{u}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{c}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{a}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{p}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{c}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{e}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\texttt{c}}}\mbox{\$

Lipids4

Pooled data from the 4 pivotal 24-week trials showed the following mean changes (\pm SD). Values are shown for linagliptin and placebo groups respectively.

Pooled Values from Pivotal 24-week Trials **Total cholesterol (mg/dL):** 2±1; 4±16

LDL (**mg/dL**): 5±24; 7±24 **HDL** (**mg/dL**):1±11; 2±10

Triglycerides (mg/dL): -12±123; -5±189

Looking at individual trials, the product package insert shows that hyperlipidemia was reported in 2.7% vs. 0.8% patients receiving linagliptin + pioglitazone vs. placebo + pioglitazone. Hypertriglyceridemia was reported in 2.4% vs. 0 in patients receiving linagliptin + SU vs. placebo + SU.

[†]At 2 years, change in A1C was -0.16% (LIN) and -0.36% (GLIM) and % patients achieving A1C<7% was 21% (LIN) and 28.3% (GLIM)

Selected future studies of interest

- 52-week study linagliptin vs. placebo as add-on to basal insulin (anticipated completion 8/2011)
- Long-term study of linagliptin vs. glimepiride as add-on to usual care evaluating cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, relevant efficacy parameters, and safety (estimated primary completion date 9/2018)

Adverse Events

The clinical safety data base includes studies 15-18, 23, 35, 50, plus 3 phase II trials and 2 phase I trials. These studies have been pooled and will be referred to as SAF-2. Study 20 is discussed separately. Number of patients included in the safety database and patient-years of exposure are shown in table 4.⁴

Table 4: Number of Patients and Patient-Years of Exposure in Safety Evaluations⁴

	Study Duration	Linagliptin 5mg (n)	Comparator (n)	Linagliptin exposure (pt-yrs)	Comparator exposure (pt-yrs)
SAF-2	12 days-24 weeks	2566	1183	1041.4	433.8
Study 20	52 weeks	778	781	887.5	872

The frequency of patients with adverse events is shown in table 5. Adverse Events are listed as those occurring in >1% and >5% of patients in SAF-2 grouping and Study 20 respectively.⁴ Although not evident when looking at the pooled data, events occurring in the individuals trials that occurred more often with linagliptin in the combination with SU trial were nasopharyngitis (4.3 vs. 1.2%) and hypertriglyceridemia (2.4 vs. 0%), and hypersensitivity (1.9 vs. 1.2%); in the combination with pioglitazone study, events occurring more often with linagliptin were hyperlipidemia (2.7 vs. 0.8%) and increased weight (2.3 vs. 0.8%).¹²

Table 5: Frequency of Adverse Events in Safety Evaluations⁴

SAF-2 (AEs in > 1% of Patients)		Study 20 (AEs in > 5% of Patients)		
Linagliptin 5mg [N (%)]	Comparator [N (%)]	Linagliptin 5mg [N (%)]	Glimepiride [N (%)]	
1412 (55)	636 (53.8)	611 (78.5)	662 (84.8)	
127 (10.7)	269 (10.5)	168 (21.6)	177 (22.7)	
15 (1.3)	18 (0.7)	-	-	
21 (1.8)	40 (1.6)	-	-	
27 (2.3)	53 (2.1)	36 (5.0)	52 (6.7)	
14 (1.2)	28 (1.1)	-	-	
124 (4.8)	61 (5.2)	-	-	
28 (1.1)	9 (0.8)	-	-	
13 (0.5)	17 (1.4)	-	-	
491 (19.1)	244 (20.6)	305 (39.2)	321 (41.1)	
150 (5.8)	65 (5.5)	100 (12.9)	102 (13.1)	
-	-	35 (4.5)	40 (5.1)	
84 (3.3)	53 (4.5)	43 (5.5)	46 (5.9)	
56 (2.2)	28 (2.4)	-	-	
408 (15.9)	208 (17.6)	107 (13.8)	280 (35.9)	
31 (1.2)	13 (1.1)	-	-	
128 (5.0)	125 (10.6)	-	-	
195 (7.6)	49 (4.1)	41 (5.3)	237 (30.3)	
264 (10.3)	102 (8.6)	196 (25.2)	174 (22.3)	
47 (1.8)	21 (1.8)	44 (5.7)	27 (3.5)	
50 (1.9)	30 (2.5)	50 (6.4)	41 (5.2)	
34 (1.3)	11 (0.9)	-	-	
183 (7.1)	81 (6.8)	114 (14.7)	143 (18.3)	
51 (2.0)	21 (1.8)	-	-	
76 (3.0)	41 (3.5)	44 (5.7)	33 (4.2)	
102 (4.0)	26 (2.2)	-	-	
47 (1.8)	10 (0.8)	-	-	
92 (3.6)	28 (2.4)	71 (9.1)	82 (10.5)	
58 (2.3)	22 (1.9)	34 (4.4)	41 (5.2)	
	1412 (55) 127 (10.7) 15 (1.3) 21 (1.8) 27 (2.3) 14 (1.2) 124 (4.8) 28 (1.1) 13 (0.5) 491 (19.1) 150 (5.8) - 84 (3.3) 56 (2.2) 408 (15.9) 31 (1.2) 128 (5.0) 195 (7.6) 264 (10.3) 47 (1.8) 50 (1.9) 34 (1.3) 183 (7.1) 51 (2.0) 76 (3.0) 102 (4.0) 47 (1.8) 92 (3.6)	1412 (55) 636 (53.8) 127 (10.7) 269 (10.5) 15 (1.3) 18 (0.7) 21 (1.8) 40 (1.6) 27 (2.3) 53 (2.1) 14 (1.2) 28 (1.1) 124 (4.8) 61 (5.2) 28 (1.1) 9 (0.8) 13 (0.5) 17 (1.4) 491 (19.1) 244 (20.6) 150 (5.8) 65 (5.5) - - 84 (3.3) 53 (4.5) 56 (2.2) 28 (2.4) 408 (15.9) 208 (17.6) 31 (1.2) 13 (1.1) 128 (5.0) 125 (10.6) 195 (7.6) 49 (4.1) 264 (10.3) 102 (8.6) 47 (1.8) 21 (1.8) 50 (1.9) 30 (2.5) 34 (1.3) 11 (0.9) 183 (7.1) 81 (6.8) 51 (2.0) 21 (1.8) 76 (3.0) 41 (3.5) 102 (4.0) 26 (2.2) 47 (1.8) 10 (0.8) 92 (3.6) 28 (2.4)	1412 (55) 636 (53.8) 611 (78.5) 127 (10.7) 269 (10.5) 168 (21.6) 15 (1.3) 18 (0.7) - 21 (1.8) 40 (1.6) - 27 (2.3) 53 (2.1) 36 (5.0) 14 (1.2) 28 (1.1) - 124 (4.8) 61 (5.2) - 28 (1.1) 9 (0.8) - 13 (0.5) 17 (1.4) - 491 (19.1) 244 (20.6) 305 (39.2) 150 (5.8) 65 (5.5) 100 (12.9) - - 35 (4.5) 84 (3.3) 53 (4.5) 43 (5.5) 84 (3.3) 53 (4.5) 43 (5.5) 56 (2.2) 28 (2.4) - 408 (15.9) 208 (17.6) 107 (13.8) 31 (1.2) 13 (1.1) - 128 (5.0) 125 (10.6) - 195 (7.6) 49 (4.1) 41 (5.3) 264 (10.3) 102 (8.6) 196 (25.2) 47 (1.8) 21 (1.8) 44 (5.7) 50 (1.9) 30 (2.5) 50 (6.4) 34 (1.3) 11 (0.9) - 183 (7.1) 81 (6.8) 114 (14.7) 51 (2.0) 21 (1.8) - 76 (3.0) 41 (3.5) 44 (5.7)	

Adapted from FDA

Serious adverse events (SAEs) and AEs leading to discontinuation occurred less frequently in the linagliptin than comparator groups. Overall rates and rates of events occurring more often in the linagliptin group are shown in table 6.4

Table 6: Serious Adverse Events and Adverse Events Leading to Discontinuation⁴

	SA	\F-2	Stuc	ly 20	
	Linagliptin	Comparator	Linagliptin	Glimepiride	
Serious AEs (SAEs) [N (%)]	94 (3.1)	62 (3.8)	122 (15.7)	156 (20)	
SAEs occurring more often in the linagliptin vs. comparator group	Skin/subcutaneous tissue disorder (0.1 vs. 0%) Vascular disorders (0.4 vs. 0.1%)		Eye disorders (0.4 vs. 0.3%) Immune system disorders (0.4 vs. 0%) Injury/poisoning/procedure complication (1.7 vs. 1.3%) Increased AST (0.1 vs. 0%) Increased alkaline phosphatase (0.1 vs. 0%) Abnormal LFTs (0.1 vs. 0%) Neoplasms-benign, malignant, unspecified including cysts and polyps (2.2 vs. 1.9%) Respiratory disorders (1.0 vs. 0.5%) Surgical/medical procedures (0.3 vs. 0%)		
AEs Leading to Discontinuation [N (%)]	58 (2.3)	43 (3.6)	45 (5.8)	77 (9.9)	
AEs Leading to Discontinuation and occurring more often in the linagliptin vs. comparator group	Cardiac disorders (0.2 vs. 0.1%) Gl disorders (0.4 vs. 0.3%) Hepatobiliary disorders (0.1 vs. 0%) Infections (0.1 vs. 0%) Musculoskeletal/connective tissue disorders (0.2 vs. 0%) Respiratory disorders (0.1 vs. 0%) Skin/subcutaneous tissue disorders (0.2 vs. 0.1%) Vascular disorders (0.1 vs. 0%)		Decreased weight (0.1 vs. 0%) Neoplasms-benign, malignant, unspecified		

Data obtained from FDA transcript

Hypoglycemia⁴

In SAF-2, hypoglycemia was reported in 195/2566 (7.6%) patients receiving linagliptin and in 49/1183 (4.1%) of patients receiving placebo. In study 20, hypoglycemia was more frequent in the glimepiride + metformin group than the linagliptin + metformin group (30.5 vs. 5.3%). The rate of hypoglycemia was higher in studies that combined linagliptin with a SU (see table 7).

Weight

Linagliptin is considered to be weight neutral. However, when combined with pioglitazone, there was an increase in weight which was greater than seen with pioglitazone alone.³ See table 7 for average change in weight.

Table 7: Other Endpoints of Interest (Reported Hypoglycemia and Change in Weight)

Study	n	Duration	Treatment arms	Hypoglycemia (%)	Weight (kg)	
Del Prato ¹	503	24-weeks	LIN 5mg	0.3	-	
Del Prato	303	24-weeks	PBO	0.6	-	
Taskinen ²	701	24-weeks	LIN 5mg + MET	0.4	-0.4	
raskinen	701	24-Weeks	PBO + MET	2.3	-0.5	
Comis ³	omis ³ 389	24-weeks	LIN 5mg + PIO 30mg	1.2	2.3*	
Guillis		24-WEEKS	PBO + PIO 30mg	0	1.3	
C+udy 25 ^{4, 5}	udy 35 ^{4, 5} 245	24E 19 woo	18-weeks	LIN 5mg+SU	5.6	-0.4
Study 35		TO-MEEK2	PBO+ SU	4.8	0	
Study 18 ^{4, 6}	1058	3 24-weeks	LIN 5mg+MET+SU	22.7	No significant difference	
Study 18	1036		PBO+MET+SU	14.8	No significant difference	
Study 20 ^{4, 9, 10}	1527	52-weeks	LIN 5mg + MET	5.4 (52-wk)*; 7.1 (2-yr)*	-1.13 (52-wk)*; -1.4 (2-yr)*	
Study 20	1527	2-years	GLIM + MET	31.8 (52-wk); 34.8 (2-yr)	1.36 (52-wk); 1.3 (2-yr)	
Study 50 ^{4, 8}	227	18-weeks	LIN 5mg	1.3	-0.3	
Study 50	221	227 18-weeks	PBO	0	-1.4	
Study 43 ^{7,10}	122	20 50 1	LIN 5mg + prior DM meds	63.2*	-1.96	
Study 43 ^{7,10} 133		52-weeks	PBO + prior DM meds	49.2	-0.04	

14 Study 46 ^{10, 11} 14 14	143		LIN 2.5mg + MET 500mg BID	3.5	Treatment difference
	143		LIN 2.5mg + MET 1000mg BID	0	0.61 (LIN/MET500 vs. MET 500)
	142	24-weeks	LIN 5mg	0	-0.31 (LIN/MET500 vs. LIN)
	144	24-weeks	MET 500mg BID	1.4	-0.23 (LIN/MET1000 vs. LIN)
	147		MET 1000mg BID	3.4	-0.25 (LIN/MET1000 VS. IVIET 1000) -0.96 (LIN/MET1000 VS. LIN)*
	72		PBO	1.4	-0.96 (LIN/INIET 1000 VS. LIN)

^{*}Significant vs. comparator

GLIM=glimepiride; LIN=linagliptin; MET=metformin; PBO=placebo; PIO=pioglitazone; SU=sulfonylurea

Infection⁴

Concerns have been raised that the DPP-4 inhibitors may be associated with an increased risk of infection. The rate of infection with linagliptin appears to be similar to that of the comparators.

Table 8: Infections Rates 4

	SAF-2 (AEs in > :	1% of Patients)	Study 20 (AEs in > 5% of Patients)		
	Linagliptin 5mg [N (%)]	Comparator [N (%)]	Linagliptin 5mg [N (%)]	Glimepiride [N (%)]	
Infections	491 (19.1)	244 (20.6)	305 (39.2)	321 (41.1)	
Nasopharyngitis	150 (5.8)	65 (5.5)	100 (12.9)	102 (13.1)	
Bronchitis	-	-	35 (4.5)	40 (5.1)	
URI	84 (3.3)	53 (4.5)	43 (5.5)	46 (5.9)	
UTI	56 (2.2)	28 (2.4)	-	-	

URI=upper respiratory tract infection; UTI=urinary tract infection

Hypersensitivity reactions⁴

Hypersensitivity reactions have been reported with the other DPP-4 inhibitors. Overall in SAF-2, hypersensitivity reactions were reported in 0.7% and 0.5% of patients receiving linagliptin and comparators respectively. Events occurring more often with linagliptin versus the comparator were circulatory collapse (0.1 vs. 0%), lip swelling (0.1 vs. 0%), and urticaria (0.2 vs. 0.1%). Events occurring with equal frequency to the comparator were face edema (0.1%).

In study 20, hypersensitivity reactions were reported in 1.3% of the linagliptin 5mg group and 1.8% in the glimepiride group. Events occurring more frequently in the linagliptin group were pharyngeal edema (0.1 vs. 0%) and urticaria (0.5% vs. 0.4%).

Cardiovascular Safety 4, 13

Under FDA requirements, a meta- analysis of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) is to be conducted for new diabetes drugs submitted for approval. The FDA recommends that point estimates and 95% confidence limits be calculated comparing the incidence of events with the investigational drug to that occurring in the control group and that the upper bound of the 95% CI is < 1.8. Endpoints for MACE included CV death, non-fatal stroke, non-fatal MI, and hospitalization for unstable angina. Eight trials were included in the analysis which included the 4 pivotal trials 15-18, studies 20, 35, 50 and 23.

Mean age was 58 ± 10 years and 28% were > 65 years old. Approximately 60% of the population was white and 52.4% had diabetes for > 5 years. Nearly 83% had previously received ≥ 1 OAD.

The hazard ratio was 0.34 [95% CI 0.16, 0.70] indicating lower risk with linagliptin versus the comparators. Table 9 shows the events broken down by individual MACE events. Many of the AEs in the comparator/placebo group were driven by study 20 comparing linagliptin to glimepiride. (Johansen)

Table 9: Cardiovascular Events

	Linagliptin(n=3319) Rate/1000 pt-yr	Comparator/placebo (n=1920) Rate/1000 pt-yr
Composite endpoint	5.3	16.8
CV death	1.0	1.5
Non-fatal MI	2.9	5.1
Non-fatal stroke	1.0	8.0
Hosp. for unstable angina	0.5	2.2

Renal Safety

In the SAF-2 data base, 112 and 50 patients receiving linagliptin and placebo respectively had moderate renal impairment at baseline. In this group, the incidence of AEs was greater in the linagliptin group than placebo (65.2% vs. 50%). There was no difference in incidence of AEs between linagliptin and placebo in those who had normal renal function or mild renal impairment at baseline.⁴

In study 43 (Safety and Efficacy Trial with Severe Chronic Renal Impairment), there were more reports of AEs in the linagliptin group compared to placebo, which included hypoglycemia, renal impairment, GI (nausea, diarrhea, constipation), infection (pneumonia, bronchitis, influenza, sinusitis, nasopharyngitis), cardiovascular (angina, acute MI, atrial fibrillation, cardiac arrest). For adjudicated cardiovascular events, there was no difference between groups in the incidence of nonfatal stroke. The incidence of nonfatal MI was greater in the linagliptin group (5.9 vs. 3.1%) whereas the incidence of cardiovascular death was lower with linagliptin (2.9 vs. 4.6%). ¹⁰

In study 43, the percentage of patients in the linagliptin group with stage 4 renal impairment decreased from 82.1% to 58.2%. This was due to 7.4% and 16.5% shifting to stages 3 and 5 renal impairment respectively. In comparison, the percentage of patients in the placebo group with stage 4 renal impairment increased slightly from 67.7% to 71%; 1.6% of these patients progressed to stage 5 renal impairment.¹⁰

Some Phase III clinical trials (studies 16, 35, 47, 50) showed decrease in the percentage of patients with normal renal function or mild renal impairment at end of study with linagliptin which was greater than that observed in the placebo groups.¹⁰

Pancreatitis^{4, 12}

There have been post-marketing reports of acute pancreatitis, including hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis with incretin class (i.e., DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1 agonists).

There were 11 cases of pancreatitis reported with linagliptin. Eight cases occurred while on treatment and 3 were reported following the last administered dose. The event rate based on the 8 cases was 1per 538 pt-yrs (linagliptin) and 0 in 433 pt-yrs (comparator). Cases included both acute exacerbation of pancreatitis and diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis. In 3 cases, the duration of treatment was 1 month; in 1 case, duration of treatment was 4 months. In the remaining 4 cases treatment duration ranged from 11-14 months.

Musculoskeletal⁴

In SAF-2, the incidence of reported musculoskeletal conditions (e.g., arthralgia, asthenia, back pain etc.) was 0.5% and 0.3% for linagliptin and placebo respectively. In study 20, overall musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders were reported in 25.2% and 22.3% of patients receiving linagliptin and glimepiride respectively. Arthralgia and back pain were the only 2 events occurring at an incidence of >5%. Specifically, arthralgia was reported in 5.7% (linagliptin) and 3.5% (glimepiride) and back pain in 6.4% (linagliptin) and 5.2% (glimepiride) of patients.

Contraindications¹²

Linagliptin is contraindicated in patients with a history of a hypersensitivity reaction to linagliptin (e.g., urticaria, angioedema, bronchial hyperreactivity)

Warnings and Precautions¹²

When used with an insulin secretagogue such as sulfonylureas, the dose of the insulin secretagogue may need to be reduced in order to decrease the risk of hypoglycemia.

Look-alike / Sound-alike (LA / SA) Error Risk Potential

As part of a JCAHO standard, LASA names are assessed during the formulary selection of drugs. Based on clinical judgment and an evaluation of LASA information from four data sources (Lexi-Comp, USP Online LASA Finder, First Databank, and ISMP Confused Drug Name List), the following drug names may cause LASA confusion.

Table 10: Look-alike/Sound-alike Error Risk Potential

NME Drug Name	Lexi-Comp	First DataBank	USP	ISMP	Clinical Judgment
Linagliptin 5mg tab	None	None	None	None	Sitagliptin Liraglutide
Tradjenta®	None	None	None	None	Treanda Truvada

Drug Interactions¹²

Linagliptin did not affect the steady-state pharmacokinetics of digoxin, warfarin, glyburide, pioglitazone, simvastatin, metformin and ethinylestridiol and levonorgestrel.

Rifampin decreased linagliptin exposure. The efficacy of linagliptin may be reduced when co-administered with a strong P-glycoprotein (P-gp) or CYP3A4 inducer. Alternative treatment is recommended when linagliptin is to be administered with a P-gp or CYP3A4 inducer.

Comparative Cost

Based on current pricing, linagliptin has the highest acquisition cost among the DPP-4 inhibitors.

Table 11: VA Acquisition Cost

	Usual daily dose	Cost/day	Cost/month
Linagliptin	5mg	\$4.82	\$144.6
Saxagliptin	2.5mg	\$3.94	\$118.20
Saxagliptin	5mg	\$3.85	\$115.50
Sitagliptin	100mg	\$3.95	\$118.50
Pioglitazone	15mg	\$3.00	\$90.00
Pioglitazone	30mg	\$4.60	\$138.00
Pioglitazone	45mg	\$4.98	\$149.40
Exenatide	5mg	\$4.53	\$135.94
Exenatide	10mg	\$5.19	\$155.55
Liraglutide	1.2mg	\$5.83	\$175.03
Liraglutide	1.8mg	\$8.81	\$264.33

Prices current as of August 2011

When multiple pricing available (package size), the least expensive is shown

Conclusions

The DPP-4 inhibitors have a modest impact on A1C (average decrease tends to be < 1%). Adverse events that have been associated with this class include pancreatitis, hypersensitivity reactions, infections, renal changes, etc.

No dosage adjustment is necessary for linagliptin in patients with renal impairment; adjustment is necessary for sitagliptin and saxagliptin. Use of CYP3A4 or P-gp inducers with linagliptin is not recommended. A lower dose of saxagliptin is recommended if taken concurrently with a strong CYP3A4/5 inhibitor. There are no drug interactions requiring dosage adjustment of sitagliptin or other co-administered drugs.

It is unclear at this time if there is an efficacy or safety advantage of one DPP-4 inhibitor over another.

References

- 1. Del Prato S, Barnett AH, Huisman H, et al. Effect of linagliptin monotherapy on glycemic control and markers of β -cell function in patients with inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial.
- 2. Taskinen MR, Rosenstock J, Tamminen I, et al. Safety and efficacy of linagliptin as add-on therapy to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011; 13 (1): 65-74.
- 3. Gomis R, Espadero RM, Jones R, et al. Efficacy and safety of initial combination therapy with linagliptin and pioglitazone in patients with inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011; 13(7): 653-661.
- 4. CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH Briefing documents for Endocrine and Metabolic Drug Advisory Committee http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2011/201280Orig1s000TOC.cfm
- 5. Lewin AJ, Arvay L, Liu D, et al. Safety and efficacy of linagliptin as add-on therapy to a sulphonylurea in inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes. 46th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. Stockholm, Sweden; 2010 Abstract 821-P.
- 6. Owens DR, Swallow R, Woerle HJ, et al. Linagliptin improves glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients inadequately controlled by metformin and sulfonylurea without weight gain and low risk of hypoglycemia. 70th American Diabetes Association Scientific Sessions. Orlando, Florida, U.S.A.; 2010. Abstract 548-P.
- 7. Sloan L, Newman J, Sauce C, et al. Safety and efficacy of linagliptin in type 2 diabetes patients with severe renal impairment. Abstract and poster presented at the American Diabetes Association 71st Scientific Sessions; San Diego, CA;June 24-28, 2011. Abstract 413-PP.
- 8. Barnett AH, Harper R, Toorawa R, et al. Linagliptin monotherapy improves glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients for whom metformin therapy is inappropriate. Poster presented at the European Association for the Study of Diabetes 46th annual meeting; Stockholm, Sweden; September 20-24, 2010. Abstract 823.
- 9. Gallwitz B, Uhlig-Laske B, Bhattacharaya S, et al.Linagliptin has similar efficacy to glimepiride but improved cardiovascular safety over 2 years in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin. Abstract presented at the American Diabetes Association 71st Scientific Sessions; San Diego, CA; June 24-28.2011. Abstract 39-LB.
- 10. Tradjenta tablets (linagliptin) for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus Comprehensive Formulary Submission Dossier. June 30, 2011
- 11. Haak T, Meinicke T, Jones R, et al. Combination of linagliptin and metformin improves glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial with an open-label arm in patients with poor glycemic control. Abstract presented at the American Diabetes Association 71st Scientific Sessions; San Diego, CA; June 24-28,2011. Abstract 279-OR.
- 12. Product package insert for Tradjenta (linagliptin) tablets. 2011.
- 13. Johansen OE, Neubacher D, von Eynatten M, et al. Cardiovascular risk with linagliptin in paients with type 2 diabetes: a pre-specified, prospective, and adjudicated meta-analysis from a large phase III program. Abstract presented at the American Diabetes Association 71st Scientific Sessions; San Diego, CA; June 24-28,2011. Abstract 30-LB.

Prepared by: Deb Khachikian, PharmD

Date: September 2011

Abbreviations used in appendices:

A1C=hemoglobin A1c; BMI=body mass index; CHF=congestive heart failure; CV=cardiovascular; DB= double-blind; DM=diabetes mellitus; FAS=full analysis set; FPG=fasting plasma glucose; GI=gastrointestinal; GLIM=glimepiride; LOCF=last observation carried forward; MET= metformin; PBO=placebo; PC=placebo-controlled; PIO=pioglitazone; PPG=post-prandial glucose; R=randomized; SB=single-blind; SU=sulfonylurea; Sx=symptoms; TZD=thiazolidinedione

Appendix 1: Monotherapy Trials

Appendix 2: Add-On Combination TrialsAppendix 3: Initial Combination TrialsAppendix 4: Trials in Special Populations

Appendix 1: Monotherapy Trials

Study	Inclusion/Exclusion	Dosage	Demographics/Baseline values		Results	
Del Prato 2011	Inclusions:	2-week placebo run-in	Values for LIN and PBO respectively			
Study 16	Type 2 DM	(metformin only)			Linagliptin	Placebo
	18-80 years old	4-week washout and 2 week	Age (years): 56.4±10.1; 54.4±10.3	d/c treatment n/N(%)	16/336 (4.8)	14/167 (8.4)
R, DB, PC	Drug treatment naïve or received	placebo run-in (metformin + 1	Male (%): 48.8; 47.3	d/c due to AE n/N (%)	4/336 (1.2)	4/167 (2.4)
24-weeks	1 OAD (excluding TZDs)	OAD)	Weight (kg): 78.5±16.7; 79.2±16.0	A1C (%)	-0.44±0.05*	0.25±0.07
N=503	A1C 7-10% (treatment naive);		BMI (kg/m2): 29.0±4.8; 29.1±4.8	FPG (mg/dL)	-9.0±1.8*	14.4±3.6
	A1C 6.5-9.0% (1 OAD)	LIN 5mg once daily (n=336)	A1C (%): 8.0±0.05; 8.0±0.07	2-h PPG (mg/dL)†	-34.2±5.4*	25.2±10.8
Analysis:	BMI ≤ 40kg/m2	PBO once daily (n=167)	FPG (mg/dL): 163.8±1.8; 165.6±3.6	Achieved A1C <7.0 (%)	25.2*	11.6
FAS with LOCF			Prior antidiabetes drugs (%)	A1C reduction ≥ 0.5 (%)	47.1*	19
	Exclusions:		Treatment naive: 56.2; 57.1	A1C stratified by		
	Treatment with a TZD, GLP-1	Rescue metformin may be	1 OAD: 43.8; 42.9	baseline value‡		
	analog, insulin, or antiobesity	initiated during randomized		≥9.0%	-0.83*	0.15
	drug within 3 months	period if FPG was >240mg/dL	mean ±SD (age, weight, BMI)	8.0-<9.0	-0.55*	0.18
	Change in dose of thyroid hormone tx within 6 weeks of	with a minimum of 2	mean± SE (A1C, FPG)	7.5-<8.0	-0.42*	0.14
		measurements made on		_ <7.	-0.19*	0.4
	screening Tx with systemic steroids at time	different days with at least 1 measurement taken at the		Need for rescue	10.2*	20.9
	of enrollment	investigational site.		medication (%)	10.2	20.9
	Impaired hepatic function at	investigational site.		Weight (kg)	0.0±2.1	-0.3±2.0
	screening (ALT, AST, or ALP > 3 ×	If FPG remained > 240mg/dL		Hypoglycemia (%)	0.3	0.6
	ULN)	despite rescue, the patient was		Mean± SE (A1C, FPG, 2-h PPG	i)	
	MI, stroke, or TIA within 6	discontinued from the study.		Mean± SD (weight)		
	months of time of enrolment	alsocitation in the stady.		*Significant vs. placebo		
	Alcohol or drug abuse			†2-h PPG evaluated in subgro		n=24 PBO)
	Nursing or pregnant			‡ Values for A1C estimated fr	om graph	
	Women of child-bearing potential					
	and not practicing an acceptable					
	method of birth control					
Study 50 ^{4, 8}	Inclusions:	2-week placebo run-in (tx naive)	Values for LIN and PBO respectively			
·	Type 2 DM patients in whom	4-week washout and 2 week			Linagliptin	Placebo
R. DB, PC	metformin therapy is	placebo run-in (on 1 OAD)	Age (years): 56.4±10.6; 56.7±9.7	d/c treatment n/N(%)	14/151 (9.3)	12/76 (15.8)
18-weeks	inappropriate		Male (%): 36.4; 43.4	d/c due to AE n/N	1/151	0

N=227	18-80 years old	LIN 5mg once daily (n=151)	Weight (kg): 77±18.8; 80.9±19.1	A1C (%)	-0.44±0.14*	0.14±0.16
	Drug treatment naïve or received	PBO once daily (n=76)	BMI (kg/m2): 29.1±5.6; 30.2±5.0	A1C (%)		_
	1 OAD		A1C (%): 8.1±1.0; 8.1±0.9	Baseline < 8.5%	-0.28±0.15	0.07±0.18
	A1C 7-10% (treatment naive);	Rescue therapy with	% pts. with A1C:	Baseline≥8.5%	-0.79±0.18	0.22±0.23
	A1C 6.5-9.0% (1 OAD)	pioglitazone or insulin may be	< 7.0%: 7.5; 6.8	FPG (mg/dL)	-13.3±5.2*	7.2±6.0
	BMI: ≤ 40 kg/m2	initiated during the first 12	7.0 to <8.0% : 40.8; 42.5	Achieved A1C <7.0 (%)	23.5*	11.8
	Exclusions: if the patient had a glucose level >9.	8.0 to <9.0%: 35.4; 35.6 > 9.0%: 16.3; 15.1	Need for rescue medication (%)	11.6	17.8	
	Same as Study 16 plus the	> 240 mg/dL after overnight fast.	FPG (mg/dL): 183.3±46.4;	Weight (kg)	-0.3±2.7	-1.4±4.5
	following:	During the remaining weeks of	180.5±44.7	Hypoglycemia (n)	2	0
	Severe renal impairment Hereditary galactose intolerance	treatment, rescue was initiated only if glucose level > 200 mg/dL.	Prior antidiabetes drugs (%) Treatment naive: 55.1; 52.1 1 OAD: 42.9; 46.6 ≥2 OADs: 2.0; 1.4	Mean± SE (A1C, FPG) Mean± SD (weight) *Significant vs. placebo		
			Mean ± SD			

Appendix 2: Add-On Combination Trials

Дррспа	X 2. Add On Combinat	ion mais					
Study	Inclusion/Exclusion	Dosage	Demographics/Baseline values	Results			
Taskinen 2011 ²	Inclusions:	2-week placebo run-in	Values for LIN and PBO respectively				
Study 17	Type 2 DM	(metformin only)			LIN + MET	PBO + MET	
	18-80 years old	4-week washout and 2 week	Age (years): 56.5±10.1; 56.6±10.9	d/c treatment n/N(%)	39/523 (7.5)	14/177 (7.9)	
R, DB, PC	On metformin≥ 1500mg (or	placebo run-in (metformin + 1	Male (%): 53;57	d/c due to AE n/N(%)	9/523 (1.7)	3/177 (1.7)	
	max tolerated dose) ± 1	OAD)	Weight (kg): 82.2±17.2; 83.3±16.6			<u> </u>	

24-weeks	additional antidiabetes med		BMI (kg/m2): 29.9±4.8; 30.1±5.0	A1C (%)	-0.49±0.04*	0.15±0.06
N=701	A1C 7-10% (metformin	3:1 randomization; stratified	A1C (%): 8.09±0.86; 8.02±0.88	FPG (mg/dL)	-10.8±1.8*	10.8±3.6
	monotherapy)	according to A1C <8.5% or ≥	FPG (mg/dL): 169.2±43.2;	2-h PPG (mg/dL)	-48.6±7.2*	18±12.6
Superiority trial	A1C 6.5-9.0% (2-drug tx)	8.5% and according to use of	165.6±41.4	Achieved A1C <7.0 (%)	26*	9
	BMI ≤ 40kg/m2	monotherapy vs. combo therapy	Time since diagnosis (%)	A1C reduction ≥ 0.5 (%)	50	22
Analysis:		at enrolment	≤1 year: 11; 13	A1C stratified by		
FAS with LOCF	Exclusions:		>1-5 years: 34; 34	baseline value†		
	Same as Study 16 plus the		> 5 years: 56; 53	≥9.0%	-0.95*	-0.23
	following:	LIN 5mg daily + MET (n=523)	Prior antidiabetes drugs (%)	8.0-<9.0	-0.6*	0.15
	Renal failure or Scr >1.5mg/dL	PBO + MET (n=177)	Metformin only: 68; 69	7.5-<8.0	-0.35*	0.25
	H/O acute or chronic		Metformin + 1 other: 32; 31	<7.5	-0.2*	0.4
	metabolic acidosis	Barrer Marker State and the	Marcal CD	Need for rescue	8*	19
	Unstable or acute CHF	Rescue with glimepiride may be	Mean± SD	medication (%)	0	19
	Hereditary galactose intolerance, dehydration	initiated if during the first 12 weeks FPG >240mg/dL and if		Weight (kg)	-0.4±.3	-0.5±3.3
	intolerance, denydration	during the last 12 weeks FPG >		Hypoglycemia (%)‡	0.6*	2.8
		200mg/dL or random value >		Mean ± SE (A1C, FPG, 2-h PPG)	
		400mg/dL of faildofff value >		Mean ±SD (weight)		
		400111g/ dL		*Significant vs. PBO + MET		
				†Values for stratified A1C esting	mated from graph	
				‡Hypoglycemia defined as blo	od glucose < 70mg/dL	

Appendix 2-cont.

Appe	maix & come					
Study 35 ^{4, 5}	<u>Inclusions</u>	2-week placebo run-in	Values shown for combined study			
R, DB,PC	Type 2 DM	4-week washout for those on	<u>population</u>		LIN + SU	PBO + SU
	18-80 years old	OAD other than SU		d/c treatment n/N(%)	10/161 (6.2)	7/84 (8.3)
18-weeks	On an SU ± 1 additional anti-		Age (years): 57.2±9.8; 56.2±10.2	d/c due to AE n/N(%)	5/161 (3.1)	3/84 (3.6)
N=245	diabetes med	LIN 5mg+SU (n=161)	≥ 65y (%): 25.5; 16.7	A1C (%)	-0.54±0.07*	-0.07±0.1
	A1C 7.5-10% (SU	PBO+ SU (n=84)	Male (%): 47.8; 61.9	FPG (mg/dL)	-8.2±3.3	-1.8±4.5
	monotherapy)		Weight (kg): 74.5±17; 76.1±17	Achieved A1C <7.0 (%)	15.2*	3.7
	A1C 7.0-9.0% (2-drug tx) BMI ≤ 40kg/m2	SU dose remained unchanged during study	BMI (kg/m2): 28.4±5.0; 28.2±5.1 A1C (%): 8.6±0.85; 8.6±0.72	Need for rescue medication (%)	7.6*	15.9

	Exclusions Same as Study 16 plus the following: Severe renal impairment Hereditary galactose intolerance	Randomization was stratified by HbA1c (<8.5% versus ≥8.5%) Pioglitazone was used as rescue therapy. During the first 12 weeks, rescue medication was initiated if glucose level > 240 mg/dL after an overnight fast. During the last 6 weeks of treatment, rescue was to be initiated only for glucose level of > 200 mg/dL after an overnight fast.	% pts. with A1C: < 7.0%: 1.3; 0 7.0 to <8.0%: 19; 22 8.0 to <9.0%: 44.3; 42.7 >9.0%: 35.4; 35.4 FPG (mg/dL): 182±52; 175±49 Prior antidiabetic meds (%): One: 64.6; 67.1 Two: 35.4; 32.9 Mean ± SD	Weight (kg) Hypoglycemia (%) Mean± SE (A1C, FPG) Mean±SD (weight) *Significant vs. PBO + SU	0.4±2 5.6	0.0±1.8 4.8
Study 18 ^{4,6} R, DB, PC N=1058 24-weeks	Inclusions Type 2 DM 18-80 years old Insufficient control on metformin + SU A1C 7-10% BMI ≤ 40kg/m2 Exclusions Same as study 16 plus the following: Serum creatinine ≥1.5 mg/dl Unstable or acute congestive heart failure Acute or chronic metabolic acidosis Dehydration	2-week placebo run-in LIN 5mg daily + MET + SU (n=792) PBO + MET + SU (n=263) Pioglitazone rescue	Values for LIN and PBO respectively Age (years): 58.3±9.9; 57.6±9.7 Male (%): 46.8; 48.5 Weight (kg): BMI (kg/m2): 28.4±4.8; 28.2±4.5 DM duration > 5 years (%): 73.1; 73.7 A1C (%): 8.1±0.8; 8.0±0.8 FPG (mg/dL): 159.3±36.5; 162.6±37.1 Mean ± SD	d/c treatment (%) d/c due to AE (%) A1C (%) FPG (mg/dL) Achieved A1C <7.0 (%) Need for rescue medication (%) Weight (kg) Hypoglycemia (%) *Significant vs. PBO + MET + St Mean± SD	23.7	8.0 1.9 -0.1±0.87 8.1±2.4 8.1 13 ence between groups 16

Appendix 2-cont.

Study 20 ^{4, 9, 10}	Inclusions	2-week placebo run-in	Values shown for LIN+MET and		52-week data	
R, DB, active control	Type 2 DM	4-week washout for those on an	GLIM+MET respectively		LIN + MET	GLIM + MET
N. 4527	Age 18-80 years On metformin≥ 1500mg (or	additional med to metformin	Age (years): 59.7±9.4; 59.7±9.4	d/c treatment n/N(%)	140/779 (18)	145/781 (18.6)
N=1527	max tolerated dose) ± 1	LIN 5mg daily + MET (n=776)	≥65y (%): 32.3; 32.7	d/c due to AE n/N(%)	45/779 (5.8)	77/781 (9.9)
52weeks; 104-weeks	additional antidiabetes med	GLIM + MET (n=775)	Male (%): 59.4; 61.1	A1C (%)	-0.4±0.03	-0.6±0.03*
52Weeks, 104 Weeks	A1C 6.5-10% (metformin		Weight (kg): 86.1±17.4; 86.3±16.7	FPG (mg/dL)	-8.6±1.24	-16.2±1.25*
Non-inferiority trial	monotherapy)	MET dose ≥1500mg/day	BMI (kg/m2): 30.2±4.7; 30.3 ±4.6	A1C stratified by		
•	A1C 6.0-9.0% (2-drug tx)	Initial GLIM dose 1mg/day then	A1C (%): 7.7±0.88; 7.7±0.87	baseline value (%)		
Analysis:	BMI ≤ 40kg/m2	titrated to a max of 4mg day	% pts. with A1C:	≥9.0%	-0.95±0.1	-1.4±0.09
ITT with LOCF		over 12 weeks (mean 3mg/day).	< 7.0%: 22.7; 21.3	8.0-<9.0	-0.58±0.06	-0.86±0.06
	<u>Exclusions</u>	Thereafter dose kept constant,	7.0 to <8.0% : 41.6; 45.7	7.5-<8.0	-0.28±0.07 -0.⊡±0.04	-0.54±0.06 -0.33±0.05
	Same as study 16 plus the	but may be decreased to avoid	8.0 to <9.0%: 26.5; 23.1	<7.5	-U.EEU.U4	-0.53±0.05

following:	hypoglycemia	>9.0%: 9.1; 9.9	2-h PPG (mg/dL)	-32±5.2	-30±5.2
Serum creatinine	e ≥ 1.5mg/dL	FPG (mg/dL): 164.3±43; 166.7±42.5	Achieved A1C<7.0 (%)	29.6	38.9*
Hereditary galac intolerance	tolerance HbA1c (<8.5% versus ≥8.5%) to metformin (%):	Need for rescue medication (%)	16.3	12.1*	
		None: 70; 71	Weight (kg)	-1.13±0.14†	1.36±0.14
	Pioglitazone was allowed as	One: 29.9; 28.9	Hypoglycemia (%)	5.4*	31.8
	rescue medication during the treatment phase of the trial only	Two: 0.1; 0.1 Daily dose of metformin at		104-week data	
	if the glucose level was > 240	randomization (% pts.)		LIN + MET	GLIM + MET
	mg/dL after an overnight fast or	<1500mg: 7.6; 5.9	d/c treatment (%)	24.4	22.1
	A1C >8.5% during the treatment	≥1500mg: 92.4; 94.1	d/c due to AE (%)	7.9	11.6
	phase from week 28 to week		A1C (%)	-0.16±0.03	-0.36±0.03*
	104.	Mean ± SD	FPG (mg/dL)	Treatment difference wa	ıs 6.38 mg/dL ± 1.97
				[95% CI, 2.51 to 10.25]*	
			2-h PPG (mg/dL)	Treatment difference wa	ıs –9.74 mg/dL ± 5.77
				[95% CI, -21.07 to 1.59]	
			Achieved A1C<7.0 (%)	21	28.3*
			Need for rescue medication (%)	24.7	21.5
			Weight (kg)	-1.4±0.16†	1.3±0.16
			Hypoglycemia (%)	7.1*	34.8
			Mean ± SE (A1C, FPG, 2-h	PPG)	
			Mean ± SD (weight)		
			*Significant vs. LIN+MET		
			†Significant vs. GLIM+MET	•	

Appendix 3: Initial Combination Studies

Study	Inclusion/Exclusion	Dosage	Demographics/Baseline values		Results			
Gomis 2011 ³	Inclusions	2-week placebo run-in (OAD	Values for LIN and PBO respectively					
Study 15	Type 2 DM	naïve)			LIN + PIO	PBO + PIO		
	18-80 years old	4-week washout and 2 week	Age (years): 57.7±9.6; 57.1±10.1	d/c treatment n/N(%)	15/259 (5.8)	19/130 (14.6)		
R, DB,PC	Drug naïve or previously	placebo run-in (prior OAD)	≥ 65y (%): 24.7; 26.9	d/c due to AE n/N(%)	4/259 (1.5)	6/130 (4.6)		
24-weeks	treated with any OAD		Male (%): 58.7; 65.4	A1C (%)	-1.06±0.06*	-0.56±0.09		
N=389	A1C 7.5-11%	LIN 5mg daily + PIO 30mg daily	Weight (kg): 78.3±15.6; 82.7±15.8	FPG (mg/dL)	-32.4±1.8*	18±3.6		
	BMI ≤ 40kg/m2	(n=259)	BMI (kg/m2): 28.7±4.8; 29.7±4.8	Achieved A1C <7.0 (%)	42.9*	30.5		
Analysis:		PBO + PIO 30mg daily (n=130)	A1C (%): 8.6±0.79; 8.58±0.87	A1C reduction ≥ 0.5 (%)	75*	50.8		
FAS with LOCF	<u>Exclusions</u>		% pts. with A1C:	A1C stratified by				
	Same as Study 16 plus the	Metformin was allowed as	< 7.0%: 0; 0	baseline value†				
	following:	rescue therapy	7.0 to <8.0% : 23.4; 27.3	≥9.0%	-0.65 [-1.	02, -0.28]*		
	Hemodialysis patients		8.0 to <9.0%: 45.6; 40.6	8.0-<9.0	•	82, -0.16]*		
	FBG >240mg/dL		>9.0%: 31; 32	7.5-<8.0	•	95, -0.01]*		
	NYHA class III or IV heart		FPG (mg/dL): 189±43.2; 190.8±2.4		31.10 [31			

	failure or h/o heart failure		Prior antidiabetic meds (%):	<7.5			No diffe	rence betw	een groups	
	prior to study DKA in past 6 months		None: 49.2; 50.8 1: 32.1; 31.3	Need for re medication			7.9*		14.1	_
			≥ 2: 18.7; 18	Weight (kg)		2.3*		1.2	
				Hypoglycei	n⊡a (%)		1.2		0	<u>.</u>
			Mean ± SD	Mean± SE						<u> </u>
				†Values show *Significant	•	•	I [95% CI]			
Study 46 ^{10, 11}	<u>Inclusions</u>	2-week placebo run-in (OAD	Values for LIN2.5/MET500;							
	Type 2 DM	naïve)	LIN2.5/MET1000; LIN5; MET500;		LIN2.5	LIN2.5		MET	MET	
R, DB, PC	18-80 years old	4-week washout and 2 week	MET1000; PBO		MET	MET	LIN 5	500bid	1000bid	PBO
24-weeks	Drug naïve or previously	placebo run-in (prior OAD)			500bid	1000bid		Joobiu	1000010	
N=857	treated with 1 OAD A1C at screening:	LIN 2.5mg + MET 500mg BID	Age (years): 55.6±11.2; 56.4±10.7; 56.2±10.8; 52.9±10.4; 55.2±10.6;	d/c tx (%)	11.2	7.7	14.8	4.8	14.3	25
	A1C 7.0% -10.5% (prior OAD) A1C≥ 7.5% -11.0% (no prior OAD)	(n=143) LIN 2.5mg + MET 1000mg BID (n=143)	55.7±11 Male (%): 51; 53.8; 56.3; 56.9; 53.1; 50	d/c due to AE 3.5 1.4 4.2 (%)	2.8	2.8 4.1 4.2				
	Patients with A1C ≥ 11% will	LIN 5mg once daily (n=142)	Weight (kg): 80.8±19; 76.7±16;	A1C (%)	-1.22	-1.59	-0.45	-0.64	-1.07	0.13
	be eligible to participate in an	MET 500mg BID (n=144)	79.1±17.3; 79.9±18.4; 80±18.5;	FPG	-33.2	-49.4	-8.6	-15.8	-32.2	10.2
	additional open-label study	MET 1000mg BID (n=147)	76.8±17.5	(mg/dL)	-33.2	-49.4	-8.0	-15.8	-32.2	10.2
	BMI ≤ 40kg/m2	Placebo (N=72)	lacebo (N=72) BMI (kg/m2): 29.7±5.3; 28.6±4.8; 29±4.7; 28.9±4.8; 29.5±5.3; 28.6±5.2	Achieved A1C <7.0	30.1	53.6	10.4	18.6	30.7	10.8
	Exclusions		A1C (%): 8.71±0.95; 8.68±1.0;	(%)						
	Same as Study 16 plus the following: Renal impairment	ment ss acute congestive	8.67±0.95 FPG (mg/dL): 198.6±60; 196.9±51; 195.3±50; 191.2±47; 192.3±53;	Need for rescue tx (%)	7.3	4.3	11.1	13.5	8.0	29.2
	Gastric bypass Dehydration			Hypoglyc (%)	3.5	0	0	1.4	3.4	1.4
	Unstable or acute congestive heart failure		Treatment naïve (%): 47.7; 46.4; 45.2; 48.9; 48.6; 49.2							
	History of acute or chronic metabolic acidosis Hereditary galactose		Mean ± SD							
	intolerance									

Appendix 4: Trials in Special Populations

Study	Inclusion/Exclusion	Dosage	Demographics/Baseline values	Results						
Study 43 ^{7,10}	Type 2 DM	2-week placebo run-in	Values for LIN and PBO respectively							
R, DB, PC	1-80 years old				LIN + background	PBO + background				
	Treated with insulin or any combination	LIN 5mg daily + background	Age (years): 64±10.9; 64.9±9.6		meds	meds				
52-weeks	of insulin, sulfonylurea or glinides as	meds (n=68)	Male (%): 66.2; 53.8	d/c treatment (%)	27.9	26.2				

monotherapy and pioglitazone or any		Weight (kg): 9.9±19; 85.7±17.6	d/c due to AE (%)	11.8	16.9
other antidiabetics excluding DPP-4	PBO + background meds	BMI (kg/m2): 32.3±5.9; 31.7 ±5.9	A1C (%)		
inhibitors other than linagliptin(stable for	(n=65)	A1C (%): 8.2±1.1; 8.2±0.9	Week 12	-0.76±0.14*	-0.18±0.15
at least 8 weeks)		FPG (mg/dL): 149.5±79.5;	Week 52	-0.71±0.15*	0.01±0.16
A1C 7-10%		160.1±65.4	FPG (mg/dL)	No significant diffe	erence vs. placebo
Severe chronic renal insufficiency (GFR <	Dose of background meds	Receiving ≥2 background meds (%): 30.3; 17.7		Treatment diff 1.34mg/dL±8.17	
30 mL/min)	remained unchanged during		Achieved A1C <7.0 (%)	18	9.8
BMI ≤ 45 kg/m2	first 12 weeks; dose reduction was allowed in cases of	GFR for entire study group (ml/min/1.73m2): 23.5±6.7	Need for rescue	24.2*	48.4
Exclusions	hypoglycemia. Dose of	(m) mm, 1.7 3m2). 23.310.7	medication (%)	1.05:0.0	0.04:0.50
Same as Study 16 plus the following:	background meds may be	Data on breakdown of background	Weight (kg)	-1.96±0.9	-0.04±0.52
Renal impairment requiring chronic	adjusted after 12 weeks	meds used was not provided	Hypoglycemia (%)	63.2‡	49.2
, , ,	during remainder of trial.	lileus useu was not provideu	Severe hypoglycemia (%)	7.0	9.4
dialysis or requiring acute dialysis in the 3	during remainder of that.	Mean±SD	CV deaths (n)	1	3
months prior to informed consent Treatment with any other DPP-4 inhibitor		INIEGII±3D	Mean±SE (A1C, FPG)		·
· ·			Mean±SD (weight)		
within 3 months prior to informed			*Significant vs. PBO		
consent			‡In the LIN group, 43 patients reported hypoglycemia; 23 were receiving insulin, 6 were receiving insulin + another antidiabetic agent, and 6 were receiving SU		
Renal transplant recipient					
Unstable or acute congestive heart failure			,		